

Synthesis, characterisation and reactivity of hydrazine complexes of iron(II)

Gabriele Albertin,* Stefano Antoniutti, Emilio Bordignon and Silvia Pattaro

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università Ca' Foscari di Venezia, Dorsoduro 2137, 30123 Venezia, Italy

Hydrazine complexes $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **1–3** and $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)\text{L}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ **4** [$\text{R}^1 = \text{H, Me, Ph, 4-MeC}_6\text{H}_4, 4\text{-ClC}_6\text{H}_4, 4\text{-O}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4$ or Me_2NNH_2 ; $\text{R}^2 = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4, \text{Me}$ or Ph ; $\text{L} = \text{P}(\text{OEt})_3, \text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ or $\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$] were prepared by reacting nitrile complexes $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})_2\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ and $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ with an excess of the appropriate hydrazine. Their formulation and geometry in solution were established by infrared and ^1H and ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy. Amidrazone derivatives $[\text{Fe}\{\eta^2\text{-NH}=\text{C}(\text{R}^2)\text{NR}^1\text{NH}_2\}\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ [$\text{R}^2 = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4, \text{Me}$ or Ph ; $\text{R}^1 = \text{H}$ or Me ; $\text{L} = \text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ or $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$] were obtained by allowing nitrilehydrazine complexes to react in solution and their formation, involving a nucleophilic attack of the hydrazine on the cyanide carbon atom of the R^2CN ligand, is also discussed. Reaction of the arylhydrazine complexes **1–4** with $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4$ at -30°C in CH_2Cl_2 resulted in selective oxidation of the arylhydrazine ligand affording the aryl diazene $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{N}=\text{NH})(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ and $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^1\text{N}=\text{NH})\text{L}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ **10** [$\text{R}^1 = \text{aryl}$; $\text{L} = \text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ or $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$] derivatives. Treatment of the arylhydrazine $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **1** ($\text{R}^1 = \text{Ph}$ or $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$) with an excess of NEt_3 resulted in a complicated reaction giving the five-co-ordinate aryl diazenido derivatives $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{N}_2)\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4]\text{BPh}_4$.

Among the transition-metal complexes containing partially reduced dinitrogen ligands^{1,2} the hydrazine derivatives are about the least studied and, although several papers have recently appeared,³ many aspects of the chemistry of co-ordinated hydrazine still remain unclear. The interest in this class of compounds is due in part to their relevance to the nitrogen fixation process, in which co-ordinated hydrazine is considered as a possible intermediate.^{1,2} Hydrazine is, in fact, a substrate⁴ as well as a product of functioning nitrogenase and has been isolated by quenching the enzyme.⁵ Furthermore, the co-ordination chemistry of hydrazine and substituted hydrazines presents some aspects of interest, including the influence that the ancillary ligands and the central metal may have in determining the different co-ordination modes, *i.e.* η^1, η^2, μ , *etc.* of the hydrazine ligand and the understanding of the properties that co-ordination to a metal fragment may induce on an NH_2NH_2 or RNHNH_2 molecule towards reduction, oxidation and deprotonation reactions.

We have previously reported⁶ on the synthesis and the reactivity of aryl diazenido $\text{M}(\text{N}_2\text{R})$ and aryl diazene $\text{M}(\text{HN}=\text{NR})$ derivatives of the iron family and now we have extended these studies⁷ to include hydrazine and some substituted hydrazines as ligands and in this paper we report the synthesis and some novel reactions of hydrazine derivatives of iron(II).

Relatively few complexes of iron containing hydrazine ligands have been described^{8–10} and, apart from the polymeric⁹ $[\text{FeX}_2(\text{RNHNH}_2)_2]$ and $[\text{Fe}(\text{RNHNH}_2)_3][\text{NO}_3]_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{F, Cl, Br}$ or I ; $\text{R} = \text{H, Me, 4-O}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4$ or $4\text{-ClC}_6\text{H}_4$) derivatives, nearly all contain the polydentate NS_4, OS_4 or S_5 -type ligands.⁸ With phosphine or carbonyl as ancillary groups, the hydrazine complexes are rare,¹⁰ including only $[\text{Fe}(\text{cp})(\text{CO})_2(\text{N}_2\text{H}_4)]\text{X}$ [$\text{cp} = \eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5$, $\text{X} = \text{BPh}_4^-$ or $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{SCN})_4]^-$],^{10a} $[\text{Fe}(\text{cp})(\text{NH}_2\text{NH}_2)(\text{dppe})]\text{PF}_6$ ($\text{dppe} = \text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2$)^{10b} and $[\text{Fe}(\text{RNHNH}_2)(\text{CO})\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ ($\text{R} = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4, 4\text{-MeOC}_6\text{H}_4$ or $4\text{-O}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4$),^{10c} and therefore it should be of interest to report the synthesis of new hydrazine iron(II) complexes along with some new properties shown by this class of compounds.

Experimental

All synthetic work was carried out under an inert atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or a vacuum-atmosphere

dry-box. Once isolated, the complexes were relatively stable in air, but were stored under an inert atmosphere at -25°C . All solvents were dried over appropriate drying agents, degassed on a vacuum line and distilled into vacuum-tight storage flasks. The phosphites $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ and $\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ (Aldrich) were purified by distillation under nitrogen, while $\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$ was prepared by the method of Rabinowitz and Pellon.¹¹ The hydrazines MeNHNH_2 , PhNHNH_2 , $4\text{-O}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{NHNH}_2$, PhCONHNH_2 and Me_2NNH_2 were Aldrich products used as received. The compounds $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{NHNH}_2$ and $4\text{-ClC}_6\text{H}_4\text{NHNH}_2$ were prepared by treating under nitrogen the corresponding salts $\text{RNHNH}_3^+\text{Cl}^-$ with a slight excess of NaOH in aqueous solution. A solid separated which, after 15 min of stirring, was filtered off, washed with water and dried over P_2O_5 under vacuum for 24 h. The solid was stored under nitrogen at -25°C . Hydrazine NH_2NH_2 was prepared by decomposition of hydrazine cyanurate (Fluka) following the reported method.¹² Triethylamine was dried with CaH_2 and distilled before use. Other reagents were from commercial sources in the highest available purity and used as received. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Magna 750 FT-IR spectrophotometer, NMR spectra (^1H , ^{31}P) on a Bruker AC200 spectrometer at temperatures varying between -90 and $+30^\circ\text{C}$, unless otherwise noted. The SWANMR software package¹³ has been used in treating the NMR data. Proton NMR spectra were referenced to internal tetramethylsilane, ^{31}P - $\{^1\text{H}\}$ chemical shifts with respect to 85% H_3PO_4 , with downfield shifts considered positive. The conductivities of 10^{-3} mol dm^{-3} solutions of the complexes in CH_3NO_2 at 25°C were measured with a Radiometer CDM 83 instrument.

Synthesis of complexes

The nitrile complexes $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ ($\text{R}^2 = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ or Me) were prepared following the method previously reported.^{6f}

$[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})_2\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ [$\text{L} = \text{P}(\text{OEt})_3, \text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ or $\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$; $\text{R}^2 = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4, \text{Me}$ or Ph]. An excess of the appropriate phosphite (42 mmol) was added to a solution of anhydrous FeCl_2 (10 mmol, 1.27 g) in ethanol (20 cm^3) (or methanol) and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 90 min. After cooling to room temperature, the appropriate nitrile (20 mmol) was slowly

added and the resulting solution stirred for 30–40 min. The addition of a slight excess of NaBPh₄ (22 mmol, 7.53 g) in ethanol (10 cm³) (or methanol) caused the precipitation of a yellow solid which was filtered off and crystallised from CH₂Cl₂ (10 cm³) and alcohol (30 cm³); yield ≥75% [L = P(OEt)₃, R² = 4-MeC₆H₄: Found C, 66.3; H, 7.2; N, 1.7. C₈₈H₁₁₄B₂FeN₂O₁₂P₄ requires C, 66.3; H, 7.2; N, 1.8%; m.p. 122 °C; Λ_M = 125.2 S cm² mol⁻¹. L = P(OEt)₃, R² = Me: Found C, 63.2; H, 7.2; N, 1.9. C₇₆H₁₀₆B₂FeN₂O₁₂P₄ requires C, 63.35; H, 7.4; N, 1.9%; m.p. 115 °C; Λ_M = 135.2 S cm² mol⁻¹. L = P(OEt)₃, R² = Ph: Found C, 66.15; H, 7.2; N, 1.8. C₈₆H₁₁₀B₂FeN₂O₁₂P₄ requires C, 66.0; H, 7.1; N, 1.8%; m.p. 117 °C; Λ_M = 122.4 S cm² mol⁻¹. L = P(OMe)₃, R² = Me: Found C, 60.4; H, 6.5; N, 2.1. C₆₄H₈₂B₂FeN₂O₁₂P₄ requires C, 60.4; H, 6.5; N, 2.2; m.p. 165 °C; Λ_M = 124.8 S cm² mol⁻¹. L = PPh(OEt)₂, R² = 4-MeC₆H₄: Found C, 72.5; H, 6.6; N, 1.6. C₁₀₄H₁₁₄B₂FeN₂O₈P₄ requires C, 72.6; H, 6.7; N, 1.6%; m.p. 121 °C; Λ_M = 118.7 S cm² mol⁻¹. L = PPh(OEt)₂, R² = Me: Found C, 70.2; H, 6.7; N, 1.8. C₉₂H₁₀₆B₂FeN₂O₈P₄ requires C, 70.4; H, 6.8; N, 1.8%; m.p. 133 °C; Λ_M = 121.4 S cm² mol⁻¹].

[Fe(R¹NHNH₂)(4-MeC₆H₄CN)L₄][BPh₄]₂ [L = P(OEt)₃ **1t** or PPh(OEt)₂ **3t**; R¹ = H **a**, Me **b**, Ph **c**, 4-MeC₆H₄ **d**, 4-ClC₆H₄ **e** or 4-O₂NC₆H₄ **f**]. An excess of the appropriate hydrazine (14 mmol) was added at room temperature to a solution of the bis(nitrile) complex [Fe(R²CN)₂L₄][BPh₄]₂ (2 mmol) in dichloromethane (30 cm³) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5–7 h in the case of NH₂NH₂ and for 24 h with the other hydrazines. The resulting solution was filtered and then evaporated to dryness to give a brown oil which was treated with ethanol (10 cm³). The addition of an excess of NaBPh₄ (3 mmol, 1.0 g) in ethanol (5 cm³) to the resulting solution caused the precipitation of a yellow solid which was filtered off and crystallised from CH₂Cl₂ (5 cm³) and ethanol (15 cm³); yield between 30 and 50% (Found: C, 63.8; H, 7.3; N, 2.7. C₈₀H₁₁₁B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **1ta** requires C, 63.7; H, 7.4; N, 2.8%; m.p. 123 °C; Λ_M = 123.9 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 65.05; H, 7.3; N, 2.5. C₈₆H₁₁₅B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **1tc** requires C, 65.2; H, 7.3; N, 2.65%; m.p. 120 °C; Λ_M = 127.6 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 65.3; H, 7.2; N, 2.7. C₈₇H₁₁₇B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **1td** requires C, 65.4; H, 7.4; N, 2.6%; m.p. 123 °C; Λ_M = 123.9 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 63.6; H, 7.15; N, 2.5. C₈₆H₁₁₄B₂ClFeN₃O₁₂P₄ **1te** requires C, 63.8; H, 7.1; N, 2.6%; m.p. 114 °C; Λ_M = 124.5 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 70.3; H, 6.75; N, 2.5. C₉₆H₁₁₁B₂FeN₃O₈P₄ **3ta** requires C, 70.5; H, 6.8; N, 2.6%; m.p. 111 °C; Λ_M = 125.6 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 70.5; H, 6.8; N, 2.45. C₉₇H₁₁₃B₂FeN₃O₈P₄ **3tb** requires C, 70.6; H, 6.9; N, 2.55%; m.p. 109 °C; Λ_M = 129.1 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 71.4; H, 6.7; N, 2.4. C₁₀₂H₁₁₅B₂FeN₃O₈P₄ **3tc** requires C, 71.5; H, 6.8; N, 2.45%; m.p. 121 °C; Λ_M = 119.9 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 71.7; H, 6.75; N, 2.4. C₁₀₃H₁₁₇B₂FeN₃O₈P₄ **3td** requires C, 71.7; H, 6.8; N, 2.4%; m.p. 118 °C; Λ_M = 125.0 S cm² mol⁻¹).

[Fe(Me₂NNH₂)(4-MeC₆H₄CN){P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ **1tg**. This complex was prepared exactly like the related compounds **1** treating [Fe(4-MeC₆H₄CN)₂{P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ with an excess of Me₂NNH₂ in CH₂Cl₂ for 24 h; yield ≥35% (Found: C, 64.3; H, 7.5; N, 2.7. C₈₂H₁₁₅B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ requires C, 64.1; H, 7.55; N, 2.7%), m.p. 103 °C, Λ_M = 121.8 S cm² mol⁻¹.

[Fe(R¹NHNH₂)(MeCN)L₄][BPh₄]₂ [L = P(OEt)₃ **1a**, P(OMe)₃ **2a** or PPh(OEt)₂ **3a**; R¹ = H **a**, Me **b**, Ph **c**, 4-MeC₆H₄ **d**, 4-ClC₆H₄ **e** and 4-O₂NC₆H₄ **f**]. These complexes were prepared exactly like the related *p*-toluonitrile compounds **1t** and **3t** treating [Fe(MeCN)₂L₄][BPh₄]₂ with an excess of the appropriate hydrazine; yield between 30 and 50% (Found: C, 62.2; H, 7.45; N, 2.8. C₇₄H₁₀₇B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **1aa** requires C, 62.1; H, 7.5; N, 2.9%; m.p. 122 °C; Λ_M = 127.4 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 63.5; H, 7.4; N, 2.7. C₈₀H₁₁₁B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **1ac** requires C, 63.7; H, 7.4; N, 2.8%; m.p. 120 °C; Λ_M = 121.2 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 63.7; H, 7.4; N, 2.8. C₈₁H₁₁₃B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **1ad**

requires C, 63.9; H, 7.5; N, 2.8%; m.p. 124 °C; Λ_M = 126.4 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 61.6; H, 7.1; N, 3.7. C₈₀H₁₁₀B₂FeN₄O₁₄P₄ **1af** requires C, 61.9; H, 7.1; N, 3.6%; m.p. 101 °C; Λ_M = 126.7 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 58.8; H, 6.5; N, 3.25. C₆₂H₈₃B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **2aa** requires C, 58.9; H, 6.6; N, 3.3%; m.p. 170 °C; Λ_M = 122.9 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 61.1; H, 6.6; N, 3.05. C₆₈H₈₇B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **2ac** requires C, 61.0; H, 6.55; N, 3.1%; m.p. 175 °C; Λ_M = 118.9 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 70.6; H, 6.7; N, 2.6. C₉₆H₁₁₁B₂FeN₃O₈P₄ **3ac** requires C, 70.5; H, 6.8; N, 2.6%; m.p. 129 °C; Λ_M = 120.8 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 70.4; H, 6.8; N, 2.4. C₉₇H₁₁₃B₂FeN₃O₈P₄ **3ad** requires C, 70.6; H, 6.9; N, 2.55%; m.p. 126 °C; Λ_M = 126.7 S cm² mol⁻¹).

[Fe(NH₂NH₂)(PhCN){P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ **1ba**. This complex was prepared exactly like the related compounds **1ta** and **1aa** treating [Fe(PhCN)₂{P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ with an excess of NH₂NH₂ in CH₂Cl₂ for about 7 h; yield ≥35% (Found: C, 63.6; H, 7.5; N, 2.7. C₇₉H₁₀₉B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ requires C, 63.5; H, 7.35; N, 2.8%), m.p. 114 °C, Λ_M = 128.1 S cm² mol⁻¹.

[FeH(R¹NHNH₂){P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ **4** (R¹ = H **a**, Ph **c**, 4-MeC₆H₄ **d** or 4-O₂NC₆H₄ **f**). To a solution of [FeH(4-MeC₆H₄CN){P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ (1 mmol, 1.2 g) in dichloromethane (20 cm³) was added an excess of the appropriate hydrazine and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give an oil which was treated with ethanol containing an excess of NaBPh₄ (2 mmol, 0.68 g). A yellow solid slowly separated which was filtered off and crystallised from ethanol; yield ≥65% (Found: C, 54.4; H, 8.0; N, 2.5. C₄₈H₈₅BFeN₂O₁₂P₄ **4a** requires C, 53.7; H, 8.0; N, 2.6%; m.p. 98 °C; Λ_M = 60.1 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 56.2; H, 7.8; N, 2.5. C₅₄H₈₉BFeN₂O₁₂P₄ **4c** requires C, 56.5; H, 7.8; N, 2.4%; Λ_M = 61.1 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 56.7; H, 7.8; N, 2.45. C₅₅H₉₁BFeN₂O₁₂P₄ **4d** requires C, 56.8; H, 7.9; N, 2.4%; m.p. 88 °C; Λ_M = 59.3 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 54.5; H, 7.35; N, 3.6. C₅₄H₈₈BFeN₃O₁₄P₄ **4f** requires C, 54.3; H, 7.4; N, 3.5%; m.p. 90 °C; Λ_M = 58.7 S cm² mol⁻¹).

[Fe(η²-PhCONHNH₂){P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ **5**. An excess of solid benzoylhydrazine (20 mmol, 2.7 g) was added to a solution of [Fe(4-MeC₆H₄CN)₂{P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ (2 mmol, 3.2 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 cm³) and the resulting suspension stirred for 48 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give a green oil which was treated with ethanol (15 cm³) containing an excess of NaBPh₄ (3 mmol, 1.0 g). A yellow solid slowly separated from the stirred solution which was filtered off and rejected. The solution was then cooled to -25 °C and stirred until a solid separated which was filtered off and crystallised from ethanol; yield ≥40% (Found: C, 63.4; H, 7.4; N, 1.9. C₇₉H₁₀₈B₂FeN₃O₁₃P₄ requires C, 63.5; H, 7.3; N, 1.9%), m.p. 128 °C, Λ_M = 122.2 S cm² mol⁻¹.

[Fe(η²-NH=C(R²)NHNH₂){P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ (R² = Me-C₆H₄ **6ta** or Me **6aa**). Hydrazine complexes [Fe(NH₂NH₂)(R²CN){P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ **1ta** and **1aa** (1 mmol) were dissolved in acetone (10 cm³) and the solution stirred for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure giving an oil which was treated with ethanol (5 cm³). The resulting solution was stirred until a solid separated which was filtered off, washed with ethanol and dried under vacuum; yield ≥90% (Found: C, 63.9; H, 7.4; N, 2.6. C₈₀H₁₁₁B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **6ta** requires C, 63.7; H, 7.4; N, 2.8%; m.p. 135 °C; Λ_M = 128.9 S cm² mol⁻¹. Found: C, 62.2; H, 7.6; N, 2.95. C₇₄H₁₀₇B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ **6aa** requires C, 62.1; H, 7.5; N, 2.9%; m.p. 138 °C; Λ_M = 126.8 S cm² mol⁻¹).

[Fe(η²-NH=C(Ph)NHNH₂){P(OEt)₃}]₄[BPh₄]₂ **6ba**. This complex was prepared exactly like the related **6ta** and **6aa** starting from **1ba**; yield ≥95% (Found: C, 63.6; H, 7.45; N, 2.7. C₇₉H₁₀₉B₂FeN₃O₁₂P₄ requires C, 63.5; H, 7.35; N, 2.8%), m.p. 135 °C, Λ_M = 127.2 S cm² mol⁻¹.

$[\text{Fe}\{\eta^2\text{-NH}=\text{C}(\text{R}^2)\text{N}(\text{Me})\text{NH}_2\}\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **6tb–6ab** and $[\text{Fe}\{\eta^2\text{-NH}=\text{C}(\text{R}^2)\text{N}(\text{Me})\text{NH}_2\}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **7ab** ($\text{R}^2 = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ **t** or **Me a**). These complexes were obtained in an attempt to prepare the methylhydrazine complexes $[\text{Fe}(\text{MeNHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ following the method reported for **1–3**. A typical preparation involved the treatment of $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})_2\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ (2 mmol) dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (30 cm^3) with an excess of methylhydrazine (20 mmol, 1.1 cm^3) for about 5 h. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the remaining oil was treated with ethanol or methanol (10 cm^3) containing an excess of NaBPh_4 (3 mmol, 1.0 g). A yellow solid slowly separated which was filtered off and crystallised from CH_2Cl_2 (5 cm^3) and the appropriate alcohol (15 cm^3); yield $\geq 80\%$ (Found: C, 64.1; H, 7.5; N, 2.8. $\text{C}_{81}\text{H}_{113}\text{B}_2\text{FeN}_3\text{O}_{12}\text{P}_4$ **6tb** requires C, 63.9; H, 7.5; N, 2.8%; m.p. 136 °C; $\Lambda_{\text{M}} = 129.5 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. Found: C, 62.4; H, 7.5; N, 2.8. $\text{C}_{75}\text{H}_{109}\text{B}_2\text{FeN}_3\text{O}_{12}\text{P}_4$ **6ab** requires C, 62.3; H, 7.6; N, 2.9%; m.p. 148 °C; $\Lambda_{\text{M}} = 131.6 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. Found: C, 59.0; H, 6.5; N, 3.2. $\text{C}_{63}\text{H}_{85}\text{B}_2\text{FeN}_3\text{O}_{12}\text{P}_4$ **7ab** requires C, 59.2; H, 6.7; N, 3.3%; $\Lambda_{\text{M}} = 128.1 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$).

$[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{N}=\text{NH})(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CN})\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **8t** ($\text{R}^1 = \text{Ph c}$ or $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ **d**). A sample of $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ (0.5 mmol) was placed in a three-necked flask (25 cm^3) fitted with a solid-addition sidearm containing an excess of $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4$ (1.5 mmol, 0.66 g). Dichloromethane (20 cm^3) was added, the solution cooled to -30 °C and the $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4$ added portionwise over 30–40 min to the cold stirred solution. The solution was then filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give an oil. The addition of ethanol (5 cm^3) containing an excess of NaBPh_4 (1.5 mmol, 0.51 g) caused the separation of an orange solid which was filtered off and crystallised from CH_2Cl_2 (3 cm^3) and ethanol (10 cm^3); yield $\geq 70\%$ (Found: C, 65.05; H, 7.2; N, 2.8. Calc. for $\text{C}_{86}\text{H}_{113}\text{B}_2\text{FeN}_3\text{O}_{12}\text{P}_4$ **8tc**: C, 65.3; H, 7.2; N, 2.7%; $\Lambda_{\text{M}} = 122.4 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. Found: C, 65.3; H, 7.4; N, 2.5. Calc. for $\text{C}_{87}\text{H}_{115}\text{B}_2\text{FeN}_3\text{O}_{12}\text{P}_4$ **8td**: C, 65.5; H, 7.3; N, 2.7%; $\Lambda_{\text{M}} = 126.7 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$).


$[\text{Fe}(\text{PhN}=\text{NH})(\text{MeCN})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **9ac**. This complex was prepared exactly like **8ac** by oxidation with $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4$ of $[\text{Fe}(\text{PhNHNH}_2)(\text{MeCN})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$, but was obtained as an oily product. The ^1H NMR spectrum, however, confirmed the presence of the aryldiazene ligand.

$[\text{FeH}(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{N}=\text{NH})\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ **10d**. This complex was prepared by oxidation with an excess of $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4$ of the hydrazine complex $[\text{FeH}(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{NHNH}_2)\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ at -30 °C in CH_2Cl_2 ; yield $\geq 80\%$ (Found: C, 56.8; H, 7.6; N, 2.3. Calc. for $\text{C}_{55}\text{H}_{93}\text{BF}_2\text{FeN}_2\text{O}_{12}\text{P}_4$: C, 56.9; H, 7.7; N, 2.4%; $\Lambda_{\text{M}} = 49.2 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$).

$[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{N})\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ **11** ($\text{R}^1 = \text{Ph c}$ or $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ **d**). An excess of triethylamine (5 mmol, 0.7 cm^3) was added to a solution of $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ (1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 cm^3) and the reaction mixture stirred for 24 h. A white solid of $[\text{NHEt}_3]\text{BPh}_4$ began to separate after 1–2 h which was, at the end, filtered off. The solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure giving a red-brown oil which was treated with ethanol (5 cm^3) containing an excess of NaBPh_4 (2 mmol, 0.68 g). A solid slowly separated from the resulting solution which was filtered off. By slow cooling to -25 °C red crystals of the complex slowly separated from the solution which were filtered off and dried under vacuum; yield between 10 and 20% (Found: C, 56.5; H, 7.55; N, 2.3. Calc. for $\text{C}_{54}\text{H}_{85}\text{BF}_2\text{FeN}_2\text{O}_{12}\text{P}_4$ **11c**: C, 56.65; H, 7.5; N, 2.45%. Found: C, 56.8; H, 7.7; N, 2.3. Calc. for $\text{C}_{55}\text{H}_{87}\text{BF}_2\text{FeN}_2\text{O}_{12}\text{P}_4$ **11d**: C, 57.0; H, 7.6; N, 2.4%; $\Lambda_{\text{M}} = 53.7 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$).

Table 1 Hydrazine complexes prepared: NH_2NH_2 **a**, MeNHNH_2 **b**, PhNHNH_2 **c**, $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{NHNH}_2$ **d**, $4\text{-ClC}_6\text{H}_4\text{NHNH}_2$ **e**, $4\text{-O}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{NHNH}_2$ **f**, Me_2NNH_2 **g**

L	R^2		
	$4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$	Me	Ph
$\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$	1t	1a	1b
$\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$		2a	
$\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$	3t	3a	



Scheme 1 L = $\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ **1**, $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ **2**, $\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$ **3**; $\text{R}^1 = \text{H a}$, **Me b**, **Ph c**, $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ **d**, $4\text{-ClC}_6\text{H}_4$ **e**, $4\text{-O}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4$ **f**, Me_2NNH_2 **g**; $\text{R}^2 = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ **t**, **Me a**, **Ph b**

Results and Discussion

Preparation and characterisation of hydrazine complexes

Hydrazine complexes of iron(II) of the type $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **1–3** and $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)\text{L}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ **4** were prepared by treating nitrile compounds $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})_2\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ and $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ with an excess of the appropriate hydrazine, as shown in Scheme 1.

The $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ derivatives described are numbered as given above (Table 1), additionally denoted to indicate the specific substituent of the hydrazine R^1 . The substitution of the nitrile groups in $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})_2\text{L}_4]^{2+}$ cations takes place also with disubstituted hydrazines such as the *N,N*-dimethylhydrazine affording the corresponding $[\text{Fe}(\text{Me}_2\text{N}-\text{NH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **1tg** derivative. Furthermore, the substitution rate of the R^2CN ligand with R^1NHNH_2 in the $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4]^+$ cation is very low, in the case of $\text{L} = \text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$, thereby preventing the isolation of pure samples of the hydrazine complexes.

Good analytical data were obtained for all the complexes **1–4** which are yellow, diamagnetic solids stable in the air and in solutions of polar organic solvents where they behave as 2:1 (**1–3**) and 1:1 (**4**) electrolytes.¹⁴ Diagnostic for the presence of the hydrazine ligand were both the infrared and the ^1H NMR data some of which are reported in Table 2. The infrared spectra show in the 3396–3220 cm^{-1} region three or four weak bands due to the $\nu(\text{NH})$ frequencies of the R^1NHNH_2 ligand, while the related $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$ appear at 1594–1642 cm^{-1} . Furthermore, in the spectra of the *p*-toluonitrile complexes **1t** and **3t** the $\nu(\text{CN})$ band is also observed at 2255–2239 cm^{-1} . For the $[\text{FeH}(\text{RNHNH}_2)\text{L}_4]^+$ **4** derivatives the $\nu(\text{FeH})$ absorption appears at 1874–1877 cm^{-1} . However, further support for the presence of the hydrazine ligand in **1–4** comes from the ^1H NMR spectra which show the characteristic RNH and NH_2 signals between δ 6.31 and 4.60 for the nitrilehydrazine complexes **1–3** and between δ 5.95 and 2.87 for the $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)\text{L}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ derivatives. The correct assignment has been obtained by accurate integration of the signals and homodecoupling experiments. The results also show that, in the case of the NH_2NH_2 complexes, two NH_2 ^1H NMR signals are present for all the complexes, suggesting the presence of an η^1 coordination for the hydrazine ligand. Furthermore, in the high-field region of the ^1H NMR spectra of $[\text{FeH}(\text{R}^1\text{NHNH}_2)\text{L}_4]^+$ **4** cations the hydride ligand is also observed as a multiplet at

Table 2 Infrared and NMR data for the iron(II) complexes

Compound ^a	IR ^b		¹ H NMR ^{c,d}		³¹ P- ¹ H NMR ^{d,e}	
	$\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$	Assignment	δ	Assignment	Spin system	$\delta, J/\text{Hz}$
1ta [Fe(NH ₂ NH ₂)(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN)- {P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3399, 3356, 3298, 3266w 2236w 1625, 1613m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	6.12 (br) 5.09 (br) 4.20–3.80 (m) 2.42 (s) 1.31, 1.29, 1.13 (t)	FeNH ₂ NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ POCH ₂ CH ₃	AB ₂ C	δ_A 163.3 δ_B 160.8 δ_C 160.7 J_{AB} 153.5 J_{AC} 142.5 J_{BC} 149.5
1tc [Fe(PhNHNH ₂)(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN)- {P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3360, 3305, 3245w 2249w 1601m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	5.50 (t) ^f 5.34 (m) 4.44–4.28 (m) 2.44 (s) 1.40, 1.39, 1.32 (t)	RNH NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 157.3 δ_B 151.4 δ_C 143.6 J_{AB} 142.3 J_{AC} 127.6 J_{BC} 141.8
1td [Fe(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ NHNH ₂)- (4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN){P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3358, 3306, 3245w 2249w 1603m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	5.38 (m) ^f 5.31 (m) 4.55–4.33 (m) 2.47 (s) 2.27 (s) 1.46, 1.44, 1.37 (t)	RNH NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ CN CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ NH POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 157.3 δ_B 151.5 δ_C 143.7 J_{AB} 141.9 J_{AC} 127.3 J_{BC} 141.7
1te [Fe(4-ClC ₆ H ₄ NHNH ₂)- (4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN){P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3364, 3299, 3245w 2255w 1604m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	5.68 (t) ^f 5.37 (m) 4.45–4.25 (m) 2.45 (s) 1.42, 1.37, 1.36 (t)	RNH NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ CN POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 157.1 δ_B 151.3 δ_C 143.4 J_{AB} 143.0 J_{AC} 128.6 J_{BC} 140.8
1tg [Fe(Me ₂ NNH ₂)(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN)- {P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3307w 2250w 1604m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	4.60–4.25 (m) ^f 2.67 (s) 2.49 (s) 1.44, 1.43 (t)	POCH ₂ CH ₃ NCH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 157.8 δ_B 150.3 δ_C 143.9 J_{AB} 132.5 J_{AC} 128.6 J_{BC} 144.8
3ta [Fe(NH ₂ NH ₂)(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN)- {PPh(OEt) ₂ } ₄] ²⁺	3388, 3300, 3263w 2251w 1603m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	6.10 (br) 5.35 (br) 4.20–3.60 (m) 2.40 (s) 1.45–1.10 (m)	FeNH ₂ NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ POCH ₂ CH ₃	A ₂ BC	δ_A 196.7 δ_B 194.8 δ_C 192.4 J_{AB} 88.3 J_{AC} 100.5 J_{BC} 103.7
3tb [Fe(MeNHNH ₂)(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN)- {PPh(OEt) ₂ } ₄] ²⁺	3347, 3281, 3221w 2249w 1603m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	4.20 (m) 4.10–3.50 (m) 2.41 (d) 2.33 (s) 2.24 (m) 1.37, 1.35, 1.32, 1.26 (t)	NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ NCH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ RNH POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 188.8 δ_B 183.8 δ_C 177.8 J_{AB} 100.2 J_{AC} 90.7 J_{BC} 102.9
3tc [Fe(PhNHNH ₂)(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN)- {PPh(OEt) ₂ } ₄] ²⁺	3386, 3283w 2239w 1601m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	4.99 (m) ^f 4.91 (m) 4.30–3.70 (m) 2.37 (s) 1.51, 1.48, 1.36, 1.11 (t)	NH ₂ RNH POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 189.7 δ_B 183.0 δ_C 177.7 J_{AB} 103.6 J_{AC} 88.9 J_{BC} 103.6
3td [Fe(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ NHNH ₂)- (4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN){PPh(OEt) ₂ } ₄] ²⁺	3375, 3285w 2240w 1602m	v(NH) v(CN) δ (NH ₂)	4.97 (m) ^f 4.80 (m) 4.30–3.70 (m) 2.37 (s) 2.29 (s) 1.52, 1.47, 1.36, 1.11 (t)	NH ₂ RNH POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ CN CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ NH POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 189.8 δ_B 183.1 δ_C 177.8 J_{AB} 103.7 J_{AC} 88.3 J_{BC} 103.5
1aa [Fe(NH ₂ NH ₂)(MeCN)- {P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3396, 3354, 3313, 3264w 1639m	v(NH) δ (NH ₂)	5.39 (br) 4.81 (br) 4.10–3.80 (m) 1.60 (s) 1.30, 1.27, 1.21 (t)	FeNH ₂ NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ CN POCH ₂ CH ₃	AB ₂ C	δ_A 163.24 δ_B 161.32 δ_C 161.26 J_{AB} 151.0 J_{AC} 142.0 J_{BC} 150.0
1ad [Fe(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ NHNH ₂)- (MeCN){P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3366, 3305, 3248m 1610m	v(NH) δ (NH ₂)	5.20 (m) ^f 4.50–4.20 (m) 2.26 (s) 2.08 (s) 1.40, 1.39, 1.37 (t)	RNHNH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ NH CH ₃ CN POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 157.6 δ_B 152.4 δ_C 144.5 J_{AB} 141.7 J_{AC} 126.9 J_{BC} 142.0
1af [Fe(4-O ₂ NC ₆ H ₄ NHNH ₂)- (MeCN){P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3387, 3320, 3292m 1604m	v(NH) δ (NH ₂)	6.31 (t, br) 4.20–3.50 (m) 1.74 (s) 1.35–1.20 (m)	RNH POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ CN POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 163.9 δ_B 160.9 δ_C 143.2 J_{AB} 120.1 J_{AC} 144.6 J_{BC} 122.5
2aa [Fe(NH ₂ NH ₂)(MeCN)- {P(OMe) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3391, 3327, 3313, 3246w 1642m	v(NH) δ (NH ₂)	5.41 (br) 4.67 (br) 3.60 (m) 1.60 (s)	FeNH ₂ NH ₂ POCH ₃ CH ₃ CN		171–143 (m)


Table 2 (Continued)

Compound ^a	IR ^b		¹ H NMR ^{c,d}		³¹ P-{ ¹ H} NMR ^{d,e}	
	$\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$	Assignment	δ	Assignment	Spin system	$\delta, J/\text{Hz}$
2ac [Fe(PhNHNH ₂)(MeCN)-{P(OMe) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3375, 3303, 3250w 1601m	v(NH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	5.45 (t) ^f 5.24 (m) 4.00–3.80 (m) 2.44 (s)	NH ₂ RNH POCH ₃ CH ₃ CN	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_{A} 162.7 δ_{B} 157.7 δ_{C} 148.6 J_{AB} 143.4 J_{AC} 129.1 J_{BC} 141.7
3ac [Fe(PhNHNH ₂)(MeCN)-{PPh(OEt) ₂ } ₄] ²⁺	3375, 3283, 3224m 1601m	v(NH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	4.75 (m, br) ^f 4.20–3.80 (m) 1.52 (t) 1.48–1.36 (m)	RNHNH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ CN POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_{A} 189.3 δ_{B} 184.9 δ_{C} 177.6 J_{AB} 106.9 J_{AC} 90.1 J_{BC} 102.7
3ad [Fe(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ NHNH ₂)(MeCN){PPh(OEt) ₂ } ₄] ²⁺	3376, 3285, 3222w 1606m	v(NH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	4.76 (m) ^f 4.68 (m) 4.30–3.80 (m) 2.27 (s) 1.57 (t) 1.50–1.07 (m)	NH ₂ RNH POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ CH ₃ CN POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_{A} 189.5 δ_{B} 185.0 δ_{C} 177.8 J_{AB} 106.0 J_{AC} 89.9 J_{BC} 102.6
1ba [Fe(NH ₂ NH ₂)(PhCN)-{P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3397, 3345, 3305, 3265w 1604m	v(NH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	6.18 (br) ^f 5.12 (br) 4.20–3.75 (m) 1.30, 1.11 (t)	NH ₂ FeNH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ POCH ₂ CH ₃	AB ₂ C ^f	δ_{A} 163.26 δ_{B} 160.62 δ_{C} 160.40 J_{AB} 153.0 J_{AC} 138.0 J_{BC} 150.0
4a [FeH(NH ₂ NH ₂){P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ⁺	3373, 3331, 3269w 1874w (br) 1594w	v(NH) v(FeH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	4.15–3.78 (m) 3.63 (m) 2.87 (m) 1.30, 1.23, 1.19 (t) –9.44 to –10.46 (m)	POCH ₂ CH ₃ FeNH ₂ NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ FeH	AB ₂ C	δ_{A} 175.1 δ_{B} 167.9 δ_{C} 161.5 J_{AB} 130.5 J_{AC} 82.8 J_{BC} 68.8
4c [FeH(PhNHNH ₂){P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ⁺	3368, 3314, 3248w 1877w (br) 1603w	v(NH) v(FeH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	5.00 (t, br) ^f 4.45 (m) 4.35–3.90 (m) 1.35, 1.29, 1.22 (t) –8.85 to –10.12 (m)	RNH NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ POCH ₂ CH ₃ FeH	AB ₂ C ^f	δ_{A} 176.5 δ_{B} 167.9 δ_{C} 162.2 J_{AB} 131.7 J_{AC} 81.7 J_{BC} 72.3
4d [FeH(4-MeC ₆ H ₄ NHNH ₂)-{P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ⁺	3370, 3306, 3242w 1874w (br) 1617w	v(NH) v(FeH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	4.87 (t, br) ^f 4.37 (br) 4.30–3.90 (m) 2.39 (s) 1.34, 1.25, 1.22 (t) –8.85 to –10.11 (m)	RNH NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ POCH ₂ CH ₃ FeH	AB ₂ C ^f	δ_{A} 176.6 δ_{B} 168.1 δ_{C} 162.4 J_{AB} 131.4 J_{AC} 81.8 J_{BC} 72.2
4f [FeH(4-O ₂ NC ₆ H ₄ NHNH ₂)-{P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ⁺	3387, 3302w 1874w 1602m	v(NH) v(FeH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	5.95 (t, br) ^f 4.64 (m, br) 4.35–3.90 (m) 1.35, 1.25, 1.22 (t) –8.78 to –10.04 (m)	RNH NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ POCH ₂ CH ₃ FeH	AB ₂ C ^f	δ_{A} 176.1 δ_{B} 167.1 δ_{C} 161.6 J_{AB} 134.0 J_{AC} 84.2 J_{BC} 71.7
5 [Fe(η^2 -PhCONHNH ₂)-{P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3284, 3212w 1636s 1602m	v(NH) v(CO) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	6.50 (m) ^g 6.16 (br) 4.10–3.80 (m) 1.23, 1.14, 1.12 (t)	NH ₂ RNH POCH ₂ CH ₃ POCH ₂ CH ₃	AB ₂ C	δ_{A} 160.8 δ_{B} 159.3 δ_{C} 141.4 J_{AB} 133.7 J_{AC} 132.2 J_{BC} 135.8
6ta [Fe(η^2 -NH=C(4-MeC ₆ H ₄)-NHNH ₂){P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3394, 3336, 3305w 1632m	v(NH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	6.48 (br), 9.47 (br) ^f 5.65 (br), 6.21 (br) ^f 5.45 (t, br), 6.48 (t, br) ^f 4.13–3.90 (m) 2.39 (s) 1.34, 1.23 (t)	HN= RNH NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ POCH ₂ CH ₃	AB ₂ C	δ_{A} 162.6 δ_{B} 160.1 δ_{C} 141.6 J_{AB} 117.9 J_{AC} 142.9 J_{BC} 123.0
6aa [Fe(η^2 -NH=C(Me)NHNH ₂)-{P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3399, 3346, 3303w 1645m	v(NH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	8.95 (br) ^f 6.27 (t, br) 6.19 (br) 4.35 (m) 2.17 (s) 1.38, 1.35 (t)	HN= NH ₂ RNH POCH ₂ CH ₃ CCH ₃ POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_{A} 165.3 δ_{B} 161.8 δ_{C} 144.2 J_{AB} 120.1 J_{AC} 141.8 J_{BC} 122.5
6ba [Fe(η^2 -NH=C(Ph)NHNH ₂)-{P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3395, 3338, 3303w 1632m	v(NH) $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	6.44 (br) 5.72 (br) 5.44 (t, br) 4.01 (m) 1.34, 1.23 (t)	HN= RNH NH ₂ POCH ₂ CH ₃ POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂	δ_{A} 162.4 δ_{B} 160.0 δ_{C} 141.6 J_{AB} 118.7 J_{AC} 142.9 J_{BC} 122.9


Table 2 (Continued)

Compound ^a	IR ^b		¹ H NMR ^{c,d}		³¹ P- ¹ H NMR ^{d,e}	
	$\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$	Assignment	δ	Assignment	Spin system	$\delta, J/\text{Hz}$
6tb [Fe{ η^2 -NH=C(4-MeC ₆ H ₄)-N(Me)NH ₂ } ₂ {P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3401, 3285w 1624m	$\nu(\text{NH})$ $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	5.81 (t, br), 6.56 (t, br) ^f 5.43 (br), 6.07 (br) ^f 4.05 (m) 2.82 (s) 2.44 (s)	NH ₂ HN= POCH ₂ CH ₃ NCH ₃ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	ABC ₂	δ_A 163.7 δ_B 162.0 δ_C 142.6 J_{AB} 117.0 J_{AC} 144.6 J_{BC} 119.6
6ab [Fe{ η^2 -NH=C(Me)N(Me)NH ₂ } ₂ -{P(OEt) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3397, 3277m 1641m	$\nu(\text{NH})$ $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	1.34, 1.32, 1.29 (t) 6.33 (t, br) ^f 5.87 (br) 4.35–4.15 (m)	POCH ₂ CH ₃ NH ₂ HN= POCH ₂ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 164.8 δ_B 162.2 δ_C 142.7 J_{AB} 118.0 J_{AC} 145.7 J_{BC} 119.6
7ab [Fe{ η^2 -NH=C(Me)N(Me)NH ₂ } ₂ -{P(OMe) ₃ } ₄] ²⁺	3398, 3277w 1638m	$\nu(\text{NH})$ $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$	6.49 (t, br), ^f 5.41 (t, br) ^d 6.27 (br), 4.99 (br) ^d 4.02–3.80 (m) 2.91, 2.26 (s)	NH ₂ HN= POCH ₃ CH ₃	ABC ₂ ^f	δ_A 169.1 δ_B 166.8 δ_C 147.0 J_{AB} 119.8 J_{AC} 147.0 J_{BC} 119.3

^a All complexes are BPh₄⁻ salts. ^b In KBr pellets. ^c Phenyl proton resonances are omitted. ^d In CD₂Cl₂ at 25 °C. ^e Positive shift downfield from 85% H₃PO₄. ^f In (CD₃)₂CO at 25 °C. ^g At -70 °C.



Scheme 2 L = P(OEt)₃



δ -8.78 to -10.46, consistent with the proposed formulation. Finally, a *cis* geometry of type **I** or **II** can also be proposed in solution for all the hydrazine complexes **1–4** on the basis of the A₂BC, AB₂C or ABC₂ multiplet observed in the ³¹P-¹H NMR spectra.

Benzoylhydrazine PhCONHNH₂ also reacts with bis(nitrile) complexes giving substitution of both the R²CN ligands and formation of the corresponding [Fe(η^2 -PhCONHNH₂)L₄][BPh₄]₂ **5** complex which was isolated and characterised (Scheme 2). The infrared spectrum of the benzoylhydrazine complex **5** shows characteristic bands of the NHHN₂ group at 3284, 3212 [$\nu(\text{NH})$] and at 1602 cm⁻¹ [$\delta(\text{NH}_2)$] and a strong-intensity band at 1636 cm⁻¹ attributable to $\nu(\text{CO})$ of the co-ordinated carbonyl of the PhCONHNH₂ ligand. Of course, the band of the $\nu(\text{CN})$ of the *p*-toluonitrile is not present. The ¹H NMR spectrum confirms the presence of the hydrazine ligand showing at δ 6.16 (NH) and at 6.50 (NH₂) the signals of the NHHN₂ group which are clearly attributable only at low temperature (-70 °C) owing to masking of the NH signal by the phenyl protons at room temperature. In the temperature range between +20 and -80 °C the ³¹P-¹H NMR spectrum appears as an ABC₂ multiplet, consistent with the presence of a chelate benzoylhydrazine ligand of the type schematised in geometry **III**.

Table 3 Amidrazone derivatives prepared: R¹ = H **a** or Me **b**

L	R ²		
	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	Me	Ph
P(OEt) ₃	6t	6a	6b
P(OMe) ₃		7a	

Scheme 3 L = P(OEt)₃, **6a** or P(OMe)₃, **7a**; R² = 4-MeC₆H₄ **t**, Ph **b** or Me **a**

Amidrazone derivatives

The behaviour in solution of the nitrilehydrazine complexes **1–3** depends on the nature of both the hydrazine and the ancillary phosphine ligands, as shown in Schemes 3–5. The nitrilehydrazine complexes [Fe(NH₂NH₂)(R²CN)L₄][BPh₄]₂ containing the P(OEt)₃ and P(OMe)₃ phosphite ligands are unstable in solution and react slowly to afford new compounds which can easily be isolated and characterised as the amidrazone¹⁵ complexes **6ta**, **6aa**, **6ba** and **7aa** of the type shown in geometry **IV**. The [Fe(η^2 -NH=C(R²)N(R¹)NH₂)L₄][BPh₄]₂ derivatives are numbered as given in Table 3, additionally denoted to indicate the specific substituent of the hydrazine R¹. The formation of these complexes can be explained (see below) according to a reaction course that involves nucleophilic attack of one end of NH₂NH₂ on the cyanide carbon atom of the co-ordinated nitrile followed by a hydrogen shift, giving a five-membered metallacycle (Scheme 3). The reaction can in fact be followed spectroscopically (by IR and NMR) and shows, in the case of [Fe(NH₂NH₂)(4-MeC₆H₄CN){P(OEt)₃}₄][BPh₄]₂ **1ta**, the disappearance of the $\nu(\text{CN})$ band in the IR spectrum. Furthermore, in the ¹H NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂ solution), the disappearance of the two NH₂ signals at δ 6.12 and 5.09 of the hydrazine ligand and the appearance of three new signals at δ 6.48, 5.65 and 5.45, which integrate as 1:1:2 protons and were attributed to the H¹, H² and H³ protons of the amidrazone




Fig. 1 Proton NMR spectrum of the compound $[\text{Fe}\{\eta^2\text{-NH}=\text{C}(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4)\text{NHNH}_2\}\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **6ta** in CD_2Cl_2 at 25°C

(geometry **IV**), were observed. Also the phosphite and the $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CN}$ ^1H signals change during the reaction course, as well as the multiplet of the ^{31}P NMR spectrum. From the solution a yellow-orange solid can be isolated in very high yield ($\geq 95\%$) the elemental analyses of which are very similar to those of the starting hydrazine complexes. Similar results were obtained for all the NH_2NH_2 complexes. Furthermore, the $[\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_2\text{NH}_2)(\text{MeCN})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4]^{2+}$ **2aa** cation containing the $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ phosphite ligand reacts in solution to give the amidrazone complex **7aa** which, however, was isolated only as an unstable oily product. No reaction was observed for the $[\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_2\text{NH}_2)(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CN})\{\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2\}_4]^{2+}$ **3ta** cation containing the $\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$ phosphite ligand, which can be recovered unchanged after 24 h at room temperature. The use of refluxing conditions led to partial decomposition of the starting compound.

The new derivatives $[\text{Fe}\{\eta^2\text{-NH}=\text{C}(\text{R}^2)\text{NHNH}_2\}\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **6ta**, **6aa** and **6ba** are air-stable, diamagnetic and 1:2 electrolytes¹⁴ and, although it was not possible to determine any crystal structure owing to the poor quality of the crystals obtained, the IR and NMR spectra (Table 2) strongly support their formulation as amidrazone complexes. The infrared spectra show three $\nu(\text{NH})$ signals at $3401\text{--}3277\text{ cm}^{-1}$ reasonably attributed to the stretching of the NH_2 and NH groups, while the $\nu(\text{NH})$ of the iminic (N^1H) group was not assigned. Furthermore, $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$ is also observed as one medium-intensity band between 1645 and 1624 cm^{-1} . Apart from the phosphites and the BPh_4^- resonances, three slightly broad signals of intensity ratio 1:1:2 are present in the ^1H NMR spectra between δ 6.48 and 5.43 (Fig. 1). These signals, whose chemical shifts change with the solvent, were assigned by homodecoupling experiments to the H^1 , H^2 and H^3 protons of a chelate amidrazone ligand of the type of geometry **IV**. Such a structure is also confirmed by the $^{31}\text{P}\text{-}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra which show, for all the compounds, an AB_2C or ABC_2 multiplet (Fig. 2).

Nucleophilic attack upon co-ordinated nitrile by alcohols, amines and carbanions to give iminoethers, amidines and imines is well established^{16–18} but, to our knowledge, no example of such a type of reaction has been reported for an hydrazine molecule.¹⁹ However, the formation in our case of the amidrazone complexes from a compound containing one nitrile and one hydrazine ligand in a mutually *cis* position seems to be plausible and represents a new example for the reaction of co-ordinated nitriles involving, for the first time, an hydrazine molecule as a reagent.

Also methylhydrazine can give nucleophilic attack on the co-ordinated R^2CN ligand to give amidrazone derivatives and the reaction, in this case, is so fast even in CH_2Cl_2 as to prevent the isolation of pure samples of the hydrazine complexes $[\text{Fe}(\text{MeNHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$. From the reaction of the bis(nitrile) $[\text{Fe}(\text{R}^2\text{CN})_2\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ with MeNHNH_2 we always obtained a mixture of both the nitrilehydrazine and the amidrazone complexes from which only the latter $[\text{Fe}\{\eta^2\text{-}$





Fig. 2 Observed (bottom) and calculated (top) $^{31}\text{P}\text{-}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of the compound $[\text{Fe}\{\eta^2\text{-NH}=\text{C}(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4)\text{N}(\text{Me})\text{NH}_2\}\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **6tb** in CD_2Cl_2 at 25°C . The simulated spectrum was obtained with the parameters reported in Table 1




Scheme 4 $\text{L} = \text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ **6b** or $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ **7b**; $\text{R}^2 = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ **t** or Me **a**

$\text{NH}=\text{C}(\text{R}^2)\text{N}(\text{Me})\text{NH}_2\}\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **6tb**, **6ab** and **7ab** were obtained in pure form (Scheme 4) and characterised (Table 2). The arylhydrazine complexes, instead, do not seem to give rise to the cyclisation reaction and the $[\text{Fe}(\text{RNHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4]^{2+}$ [$\text{R} = \text{Ph}$, $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$, $4\text{-ClC}_6\text{H}_4$ or $4\text{-O}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4$; $\text{L} = \text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ or $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$] derivatives can be recovered unchanged after 48 h of stirring in acetone solution. The absence of an amidrazone derivative in these cases may be explained on the basis of the steric hindrance of the aryl substituent of the co-ordinated hydrazine which seems to prevent the formation of the chelate ligand.

The cyclisation reaction giving the amidrazone complexes, however, is influenced not only by the substituent group of the hydrazine R^1NHNH_2 , but also by the nature of the phosphite ligand. In fact the amidrazone complexes are formed only with the $\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ and $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ ligands, while no reaction was observed with the nitrilehydrazine complexes $[\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_2\text{NH}_2)(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CN})\{\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **3ta** and $[\text{Fe}(\text{MeNHNH}_2)(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CN})\{\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **3tb** containing $\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$ as an ancillary ligand. Long reaction times or refluxing conditions caused decomposition of the starting compound **3** but did not give the cyclisation reaction. Therefore, although the number of phosphines used is limited, the results seem to indicate that a nitrile bonded to an $\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_2\text{NHR})\text{L}_4$ fragment can undergo nucleophilic attack to the cyanide carbon atom by one end of the hydrazine only in the presence of phosphites with good π -acceptor properties,²⁰ such as $\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ and $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$. A little change in the electronic properties of the phosphine, as observed using the less π -acidic $\text{PPh}(\text{OEt})_2$ ligand, makes the nitrile complexes unreactive toward the cyclisation reaction with all the hydrazines used, so confirming the important influence of the ancillary ligands in the cyclisation reaction.

Reactivity

The arylhydrazine complexes $[\text{Fe}(\text{RNHNH}_2)(\text{R}^2\text{CN})\text{L}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **1** and **2** and $[\text{FeH}(\text{RNHNH}_2)\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ **4** containing the $\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ and $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ ligands can be oxidised by $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4$




Scheme 5 R = Ph **c** or MeC₆H₄ **d**; R² = 4-MeC₆H₄ **t** or Me **a**

to give the corresponding aryldiazene [Fe(RN=NH)(R²CN)-L₄][BPh₄]₂ and [FeH(RN=NH){P(OEt)₃}₄][BPh₄] derivatives which were isolated in good yield and characterised (Scheme 5). The related PPh(OEt)₂ complexes [Fe(RNHNH₂)(R²CN)-{PPh(OEt)₂}₄]²⁺, instead, are unreactive toward the oxidation reaction with Pb(O₂CMe)₄ and also with other oxidising agents such as H₂O₂ and Bu^tO₂H.

Diagnostic for the presence of the diazene ligand in the complexes is the high-frequency signal of the NH group at δ 13–15 observed in the ¹H NMR spectra. However, the formulation of the oxidation products **8–10** is also confirmed by a comparison of their spectroscopic properties with those of the complexes [Fe(RN=NH)(R²CN)L₄][BPh₄]₂ and [FeH(RN=NH){P(OEt)₃}₄][BPh₄] previously prepared by us^{6b,e} treating the hydrides [FeH(R²CN)L₄]⁺ and [FeH₂L₄] with the appropriate arenediazonium RN₂⁺ cations. The identical spectroscopic properties observed in the two cases emphasise that aryldiazene complexes of iron(II) can be obtained both by insertion of an arenediazonium cation into an Fe–H bond and by oxidation of an arylhydrazine derivative of iron(II). Oxidation of hydrazine complexes giving stable diazene derivatives has been reported in a few cases^{3c,21} and often involves dinuclear complexes with a diazene bridging unit.

The results obtained on the oxidation of the arylhydrazine complexes prompted us to extend these studies to the hydrazine and the methylhydrazine derivatives in an attempt to prepare the corresponding diazene NH=NH and methylidiazene MeN=NH complexes which, of course, cannot be prepared from the arenediazonium cations. Unfortunately we were not able to prepare any of these complexes by oxidation of the starting hydrazine compounds, because treating [Fe(NH₂-NH₂)(R²CN)L₄]²⁺ cations **1ta**, **1aa** and **2aa** [L = P(OEt)₃ or P(OMe)₃] with a solution of Pb(O₂CMe)₄ always gave the amidrazones **6** and **7** as the main product. The related complexes containing the PPh(OEt)₂ phosphine ligand [Fe(R¹NH-NH₂)(R²CN){PPh(OEt)₂}₄][BPh₄]₂ **3** (R¹ = H or Me), instead, do not react with Pb(O₂CMe)₄ in a stoichiometric amount at –30 °C and the use of an excess at room temperature caused only some decomposition of the starting complexes, no diazene derivative being present. The unreactivity of the hydrazine complexes containing the PPh(OEt)₂ ligand towards the oxidation with Pb(O₂CMe)₄ points out that also the oxidation reaction is influenced by the nature of the phosphite ligand and that only with the good π-acidic P(OEt)₃ and P(OMe)₃ ligands²⁰ the reaction with Pb(O₂CMe)₄ can afford the corresponding diazene derivatives.

Treatment of the arylhydrazine complexes [Fe(RNHNH₂)(R²CN){P(OEt)₃}₄][BPh₄]₂ **1** with excess of triethylamine in CH₂Cl₂ results in the formation of the ammonium salt [NHEt₃]-BPh₄ and of a red solution from which, after work-up, the aryldiazene [Fe(RN₂)L₄][BPh₄] **11** derivatives can be separated as red crystals in about 25–30% yield (Scheme 6). The related arylhydrazinenitrile complexes with the PPh(OEt)₂ ligand [Fe(RNHNH₂)(R²CN){PPh(OEt)₂}₄][BPh₄]₂ **3** and the hydrides [FeH(RNHNH₂)L₄][BPh₄] **4**, instead, are unreactive in the presence of NEt₃, whereas the hydrazine NH₂NH₂ and the methylhydrazine MeNHNH₂ complexes decompose during the treatment.



Scheme 6 R = Ph, 4-MeC₆H₄ or 4-ClC₆H₄; R² = 4-MeC₆H₄ or Me

The formulation of the aryldiazene complexes [Fe(RN₂)-L₄][BPh₄] is confirmed by the elemental analysis and by the spectroscopic properties (IR and NMR), which are identical to those of the [Fe(RN₂)L₄][BPh₄] complexes previously prepared by us^{6b} by deprotonation with NEt₃ of the bis(aryldiazene) [Fe(RN=NH)₂L₄][BPh₄]₂ derivatives. The formation of an aryldiazene complex from an arylhydrazine derivative is rather surprising also in view of the fact that the final complex contains a two-electron-reduced metal centre^{6b} (Fe⁰) and a four-electron oxidised ligand (RN₂⁺) with respect to the starting derivative. Therefore we have studied this reaction by IR and NMR spectroscopy in order to detect possible intermediates and to propose a probable reaction pathway.

Monitoring the progress of the reaction by IR spectra one can observe the disappearance of the ν(CN) band at 2255–2249 cm⁻¹ and the appearance of the 2230 cm⁻¹ absorption of the free nitrile 4-MeC₆H₄CN. The formation of free nitrile is quantitative. No other new band, apart from that of ν(N₂) of the final [Fe(RN₂)L₄]⁺ cations at 1650–1640 cm⁻¹, was observed. From the reaction mixture we separated a white solid characterised as [NHET₃][BPh₄] and present in a ratio [NHET₃]⁺:Fe greater than 1:1 (1.3:1–1.4:1). Unfortunately, the ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction mixture do not give any conclusive information, showing only rather broad signals, probably due to the formation of paramagnetic intermediates. Therefore we cannot propose any reaction path for this complicated reaction that proceeds with loss of the nitrile ligand and a concurrent deprotonation affording, as final product, the aryldiazene [Fe(RN₂)L₄][BPh₄] complex. Taking into account the low yield observed (below 50%) and that the final product contains a two-electron-reduced metal and a four-electron-oxidised ligand, one may suspect a disproportionation reaction which, however, must still be confirmed.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministero della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST) and by the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Roma. We thank Dr. G. Balacco (Menarini Ricerche S.p.A.) for permission to use his NMR software SWANMR. We thank D. Baldan for technical assistance.

References

- 1 D. Sutton, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 995; H. Kisch and P. Holzmeier, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, 1992, **34**, 67; B. F. G. Johnson, B. L. Haymore and J. R. Dilworth, in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, eds. G. Wilkinson, R. D. Gillard and J. A. McCleverty, Pergamon, Oxford, 1987, vol. 2, p. 130; R. A. Henderson, G. J. Leigh and C. J. Pickett, *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.*, 1983, **27**, 197; W. A. Nugent and B. L. Haymore, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1980, **31**, 123; F. Bottomley, *Q. Rev. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **24**, 617.
- 2 M. Hidai and Y. Mizobe, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 1115; R. R. Eady and G. J. Leigh, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1994, 2739; D. Sellmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1993, **32**, 64.
- 3 (a) K. D. Demadis, S. M. Malinak and D. Coucouvanis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 4038; (b) C. J. Davies, I. M. Dodd, M. M. Harding, B. T. Heaton, C. Jacob and J. Ratnam, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1994, 787; (c) T.-Y. Cheng, A. Ponce, A. L. Rheingold and G. L. Hillhouse, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1994, **33**, 657; (d) D. Sellmann, J. Käppler, M. Moll and F. Knoch, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 960; (e) T. E. Glassman, M. G. Vale and R. R. Schrock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 8098; (f) M. Kawano, C. Hoshino and K. Matsumoto, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 5158.

- 4 B. K. Burgess, S. Wherland, E. I. Stiefel and W. E. Newton, *Biochemistry*, 1981, **20**, 5140; L. C. Davies, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1980, 204.
- 5 M. J. Dilworth and R. R. Eady, *Biochem. J.*, 1991, **277**, 465; R. N. Thorneley and D. J. Lowe, *Biochem. J.*, 1984, **224**, 887; D. J. Evans, R. A. Henderson and B. E. Smith, in *Bioinorganic Catalysis*, ed. J. Reedijk, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993; R. N. Thorneley, R. R. Eady and D. J. Lowe, *Nature, London*, 1978, **272**, 557.
- 6 (a) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti and E. Bordignon, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1987, **26**, 3416; (b) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, G. Pelizzi, F. Vitali and E. Bordignon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 6627; (c) G. Albertin and E. Bordignon, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1986, 2551; (d) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti and E. Bordignon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 3416; (e) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, G. Pelizzi, F. Vitali and E. Bordignon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 829; (f) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti and E. Bordignon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 2072; (g) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti and E. Bordignon, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1989, 2553; (h) G. Albertin, P. Amendola, S. Antoniutti and E. Bordignon, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1990, 2979; (i) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, A. Bacchi, E. Bordignon, G. Pelizzi and P. Ugo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 6245.
- 7 G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, A. Bacchi, E. Bordignon, P. M. Dolcetti and G. Pelizzi, preceding paper.
- 8 D. Sellmann, T. Becker, T. Hofmann, F. Knoch and M. Moll, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1994, **219**, 75; D. Sellmann, W. Soglowek, F. Knoch, G. Ritter and J. Dengler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 3711; D. Sellmann, H. Kunstmann, F. Knoch and M. Moll, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 4183; D. Sellmann and U. Reineke, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1986, **314**, 91; D. Sellmann and U. Kleine-Kleffmann, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1983, **247**, 307.
- 9 A. Ferrari, A. Braibanti and A. M. Lanfredi, *Ann. Chim.*, 1958, **48**, 1238; D. Nicholls and R. Swindells, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1968, **30**, 2211; V. A. Starodub, M. S. Novakovskii, V. G. Kirichenko, V. V. Chekin and A. I. Velikodnyi, *Koord. Chim.*, 1975, **1**, 1706; A. Anagnostopoulos, D. Nicholls and J. Reed, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1979, **32**, L17; P. Glavic, J. Slivnik and A. Bole, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1980, **42**, 1781.
- 10 (a) E. O. Fischer and E. Moser, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1966, **5**, 63; (b) D. Sellmann and E. Kleinschmidt, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1977, **140**, 211; (c) S. Antoniutti, G. Albertin and E. Bordignon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 2733.
- 11 R. Rabinowitz and J. Pellon, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1961, **26**, 4623.
- 12 E. Nachbaur and G. Leiseder, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1971, **102**, 1718.
- 13 G. Balacco, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, 1994, **34**, 1235.
- 14 W. J. Geary, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1971, **7**, 81.
- 15 K. M. Watson and D. G. Neilson, in *The Chemistry of Amidines and Imidates*, ed. S. Patai, Wiley, London, 1975, p. 491.
- 16 L. Maresca, G. Natile, F. P. Intini, F. Gasparrini, A. Tiripicchio and M. Tiripicchio Camellini, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 1180; F. P. Fanizzi, F. P. Intini and G. Natile, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1989, 947; R. Cini, F. P. Fanizzi, F. P. Intini, L. Maresca and G. Natile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 5123; P. Paul and K. Nag, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 1586; D. L. Thorn and J. C. Calabrese, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1984, **272**, 283.
- 17 (a) C. A. Amodio and K. B. Nolan, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1986, **113**, 27; (b) A. Syamala and A. R. Chakravarty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 4699; (c) S. G. Feng, P. S. White and J. L. Templeton, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 1765; (d) D. P. Fairlie and W. G. Jackson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 140.
- 18 (a) T. Uchiyama, K. Takagi, K. Matsumoto, S. Ooi, Y. Nakamura and S. Kawaguchi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1979, 1197; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1981, **54**, 1077; (b) Z. Kanda, Y. Nakamura and S. Kawaguchi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17**, 910; (c) R. A. Michelin, M. Mozzon, P. Berin, R. Bertani, F. Benetollo, G. Bombieri and R. J. Angelici, *Organometallics*, 1994, **13**, 1341; (d) P. Braunstein, D. Matt, Y. Dusausoy and J. Fischer, *Organometallics*, 1983, **2**, 1410; (e) J. Vicente, M.-T. Chicote, J. Fernandez-Baeza, F. J. Lahoz and J. A. Lopez, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 3617.
- 19 R. A. Michelin, M. Mozzon and R. Bertani, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **147**, 299.
- 20 C. A. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.*, 1977, **77**, 313; M. M. Rahman, H.-Y. Liu, K. Eriks, A. Prock and W. P. Giering, *Organometallics*, 1989, **8**, 1.
- 21 (a) M. R. Smith, III, T.-Y. Cheng and G. L. Hillhouse, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 8638; (b) D. Sellmann, J. Käppler, M. Moll and F. Knoch, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 960; (c) D. Sellmann, W. Soglowek, F. Knoch and M. Moll, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1989, **28**, 1271; (d) M. R. Smith, III, R. L. Keys, G. L. Hillhouse and A. L. Rheingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 8312; (e) D. Sellmann and K. Jödden, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1977, **16**, 464.

Received 5th June 1997; Paper 7/03924G